

УДК 328.185:351/354=111

МАНТАБ Jafari,

M.A. in Political Geography, Department of political geography,
Faculty of Geography, University of Tehran, Iran

MONITORING STRATEGIES AND CORRUPTION RESTRICTION IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE AREA

The significant relationship between corruption in the administrative bodies of the country and factors that contain the material situation of employees, the mental peculiarities of the society, organizational peculiarities of its structure, quality and number of individual and psychological characteristics of the population are investigated. Considerable links between control methods and the extent of corruption reduction are also considered. The effective methods for the prevention of corruption are proposed, among which: the existence of an effective system of financial and administrative controls, the establishment of independent agencies for combating corruption in the administrative system of government, strengthening sanctions against corruptors, governance depoliticizing.

Keywords: Administrative Corruption, Controlling the Administrative Corruption, Pathology Theory, 3-Ramifications Analytical Model, Administrations of Tehran Province.

Махтаб Дж. Стратегии мониторинга и ограничения коррупции в административной сфере. Исследована существенная взаимосвязь между коррупцией в административных органах страны и факторами, включая материальное положение сотрудников, культурные особенности общества, его организационные характеристики, качество и количество индивидуально-психологических особенностей населения. Также рассмотрена значительная взаимосвязь между методами контроля и сокращением коррупции в административной сфере. Предложены эффективные методы предотвращения административной коррупции, среди которых наличие результативной системы финансового и административного контролей, создание некоторых независимых учреждений для борьбы с коррупцией, усиление санкций против коррупционеров, деполитизация административной системы.

Ключевые слова: коррупция в административной сфере, борьба с коррупцией, теория патологии, аналитическая модель 3-х уровней, администрация Тегеранской провинции.

Background. In all of the societies, administrative system is seen as a functional and administrative aspect of the government, and is the way of communication between the government and people. All around the world, administrative systems are so important that people's judgment of evaluation of their political system are based on their administrative system and, if we consider types of organizations and the administrative institutions of

a government and their functions as a way of differentiating the governments from each other, it will not be exaggeration. The philosophy behind the existence of the administrative system in every society is to enable a government to perform its duties for people in different political, economic, social and other areas and for the general purposes of the government. It is clear that performing these duties will ultimately lead to the legitimacy and survival of the political systems, in addition to ensuring social organization, order, and stability. Certainly, it is possible to achieve these goals only when the society has a clean and efficient administrative system. Obviously, if the administrative system loses its efficiency or gets corrupted for any reason, it can be expected that the system becomes one of the fundamental holes in the government, resulting in a disruption in the relationships between government and society. This will lead to disastrous consequences for political and social stability.

Administrative corruption is largely influenced by economic, social, judicial and cultural systems in any society and, at the same time, has negative effects on the legitimacy of the political system and the efficiency of administrative system and also three facts about administrative corruption includes inclusiveness, harmfulness, and controllability. Hence, the matter of administrative corruption and inefficiency of administrative systems has been a major concern for governments and political and social experts in all countries in the world. The remnants of the first governments formed in China and India represent the long history of governments' concern about the misuse of government jobs and, nowadays, along with the development of government activities in the administration of societies, this concern has become more important so that international agencies and institutions have also paid attention to it. Also, these agencies put practices on their agenda to deal with the administrative corruption in countries. It should be noted that the importance of this issue in Iran is even more than this, as Iran is the only country that its political system and the legitimacy of the political system are based on values and people's religious beliefs.

Analysis of recent research and publications. Gunnar Mirdal believes that corruption is applicable to diverse cases of deviation or exercise of personal power and illegitimate use of a job position [1, p. 15]. McMullen argues that corruption occurs when a government official accepts bribes in cash or sex for doing business [2, p. 333]. In Theobald's view, corruption is the unlawful use of administrative and governmental authority for personal gain [3, p. 85]. Finally, the World Bank and the International Transparency International (IWPR) consider corruption to be a public authority (private power) for public gain [4, p. 133]. Also in the United Nations Convention against Corruption, the following acts are considered as examples of corruption: «The misuse or destruction of public and governmental property and property by public officials, abusers or traders by public officials, the containment of proceeds from committing corruption, misuse of responsibility, for the purpose of personal exploitation, the illegal

exploitation of property, Abuse of the official authority, the use of classified or confidential information for the purpose of personal gain, alteration of the use and misuse of public property, the acquisition of illicit profit and corruption in the private sector» [5, p. 247].

The **aim** of the current study is to identify the causes of the emergence and the prevalence of administrative corruption and to provide appropriate strategies for controlling and restricting the scope of administrative corruption.

Materials and methods. The present research is a descriptive-analytic type and in terms of applied research. So, for analyzing and evaluating research hypotheses, in addition to library and electronic resources (documentary methods), the findings of the questionnaire (field method) have also been used. Since the administrative system is the domain of research; because of wide scope of this domain, the statistical society of the research was selected with a focus on the staff of 51 departments from 96 general offices in Tehran province [6] and Obtained relevant information. Because of the considerations of some agencies, it was not possible to study the total number of employees of all the departments in the statistical population; for the sample size determination, the Cochran formula was used for an unlimited society [7] which is as follows:

$$N = \frac{z^2 \cdot pq}{d^2},$$

d – Allowed mistake (usually $d = 0,05$);

z – for a 95 % confidence level is equal to 1,96;

p – ratio of the desired attribute (the value of p can be obtained through an approximate estimation of previous studies with a preliminary study, or the value of $p = 0,5$, which gives the highest possible volume);

$q = (1-p)$ [7].

Therefore, the sample size was determined 384 people with 95 % of confidence level. Then, a questionnaire was prepared and given to a number of employees of each of the offices that were selected in a simple random manner. It should be noted that since the present study is a pathology in the administrative system of the country, the research questionnaire was prepared in two parts, the first part in the form of 14 questions based on a three-branch model in the pathology theory examines the causes of corruption and the second part, which includes 6 questions, asks the most effective methods of controlling corruption. Nonparametric tests, binomial test and Friedman tests were used to test the hypotheses. The questionnaire is based on the Likert spectrum and the value of the options is from 1 to 5; That is, the score of 1 is very low, and the score of 5 is allocated to the very high. In the binomial test on the basis of the spectrum is determined the index or average firstly. Then is determined the number of respondents higher than the index and the number of respondents lower than the index and then the hypothesis is confirmed or disapproved. In the equation used in

the binomial test P is the probability of occurrence of a state (the success or confirmation of the hypothesis), and q is the probability that the desired state is not fulfilled (hypothesis failure or disapproval). Friedman's test was used to rank the hypotheses, as well as to rank the causes and methods of corruption control.

It should be noted that before the questionnaires were given to the respondents, factor analysis was used to determine the validity of the questions. The result obtained from this method shows that the items have the necessary validity to measure the variables of the research. Then, questionnaires were tested by Cronbach's alpha coefficient test to measure their reliability. As a result of this test, the alpha coefficient was 0,711. Since the valid coefficient is 0,7 or greater than 0,7, the coefficient obtained shows that the questionnaire questions have a satisfactory reliability.

Results. Corruption is prevalent on two levels. The first level is the corruption that most senior officials and senior government officials engage in this kind of corruption, political parties engage in this kind of corruption, and political bits of the twin are these kinds of corruption. The interpretation of «white collar» can be applied at the first level. These types of corruption Occurs in the «import», «auctions», «tenders», «macro and foreign domestic purchases», «parts related to the sale of underground resources» and «large construction projects» [8, p. 31].

Corruption in the second level is often made up of low-level employees, and this kind of corruption includes «public bribes», «illegal exploitation», and so on. Corruption in the second level is principally associated with the private sector and the general level of society [8, p. 31]. In addition to the above division, another division is presented about the levels of corruption, which is briefly discussed: Incidental corruption and systematic corruption (acute).

The degree of corruption in different societies varies, from varying degrees to the most extreme degree, if corruption is low, it can easily be discovered and punished and destroyed, but when corruption becomes commonplace in society, it becomes more likely that the corruption and punishment of perpetrators will be reduced, and the motivation to tackle corruption increases; Because unlike casualties, the parties are reluctant to report otherwise to competent authorities. Wherever corruption is regulated, institutions, codes, and codes of conduct are consistent with the misplaced patterns of bureaucrats and corrupt government agents. In this case, bribes can also slow down the processing of files, one of the thinkers, Herbert Werlin, likened the mistakes made in the football game, with the referee penalizing the player by showing a card; While systematic corruption is like encouraging violence in the game of football, so that the game changes nature and becomes a factor in the conflict, this form of corruption threatens economic development in many developing countries [1, p. 19].

Organized corruption or individual corruption. In the administrative system where corruption is organized, investors know who to bribe and

what to pay for bribes and are confident that they will obtain the necessary permissions for their enterprises. Organized corruption occurs when the amount (bribe) required and the recipient is determined, and payment of the payment ensures the execution of the order is sought by the bribe taker, some argue that organized corruption is less harmful, since in such a system, a corrupt bureaucrat calls for a firm share of the firm's profits, and it benefits the firm. In individual corruption, investors have to bribe several officials and there is no guarantee that they will not be subject to more bribes and that they will receive the necessary permits. System corruption is organized, and widespread corruption at all levels of government, with both government officials and politicians alike in almost all government agencies. System corruption is, in fact, a political phenomenon, in which government agents plan to exploit their administrative position to transfer illegitimate interests to themselves and their affiliates. Indeed, the group will seek to channel the flow of illicit benefits through formal channels to the desired direction by extending the government's duties in financial matters, regulating the market and overseeing it, and undertaking important services, as well as removing or weakening economic competition [1, p. 19].

Macro Corruption, Micro Corruption. Corruption at macro level is completely different from micro-level corruption, so that the corruption of state officials, ministers and high-ranking officials is called macro Corruption and the corruption of staff, such as policemen and customs officials, as micro corruption, because without controlling macro corruption, there is no hope of solving the problem of micro corruption. Macro Corruption is a corruption committed by top-level administrative officials in a group, with a significant amount of money. The perpetrators of this kind of corruption are part of the white-collar group of perpetrators and are the owners of power, and although they bring in irreparable losses and losses on the body of the community, they are less prosecuted and punished by the justice system. This group by having «protected» or «escaped» ability they are basically immune from pursuit, Studies show that in many countries, administrative corruption in the middle and lower levels of the administrative system largely depends on the degree of corruption among policy makers and senior staff. If a part of the governing body is corrupt, it needs the help of middle managers to achieve corrupt revenues on the one hand and, on the other hand, it has to weaken the regulatory and audit institutions, the press and the role of the judicial authorities [9, p. 44].

Investigations on the phenomenon of corruption have revealed the complexity and widespread causes of the formation of this phenomenon. In general, the main causes of this phenomenon can be classified into three groups:

Environmental causes. Among these categories of factors that influence the emergence and corruption of the administrative system, they can be classified into two categories of economic and cultural factors, which in brief are: degree of relativity in society, unyielding society towards ethical

standards, as well as economic problems in society like the disparity between the rate of growth of inflation and the growth rate of employees' salaries, the feeling of economic inequality of government employees compared to other sectors and the lack of additional benefits for employees.

Generally, in the current administrative system, the appointment and assignment of corporate affairs based on a comrade or a bribe has become commonplace and the collusion between wealthy people, politicians and administrative bureaucracy agents has been accepted for crossing administrative office filters as a result, corruption has occurred, especially at the macro level of society [10, p. 48].

Behavioral Causes. These include: lack of work conscience, individualism-based morale, employee risk aversion, customer familiarity with laws and regulations, and ... In general, in all countries, especially the developed countries, for administrative and organizational posts, the conditions for the recognition of which are the selection and appointment of individuals based on those conditions. In the content of administrative and government office situations, it is partly attributed to the personality characteristics of the manager, its reason for refers to importance and role of managerial personality traits in organizational behaviors that show themselves, If, on the basis of a bribe, a person is chosen for a job that does not have the conditions, then corruption is inevitable [10, p. 48].

Structural causes. One of the facts of the current administrative system is that administrative units usually face a lot of unrealistic or unnecessary rules. And the ambiguities surrounding administrative procedures and current standards of work make it possible for arbitrators to make arbitrary decisions and actions. In addition, complicated administrative processes are encouraging customers to offer bribes to expedite work. On the other hand, the low salaries of employees in the social services sector are due to the gradual decline in their resistance and their subsequent habitual acceptance of these proposals. Among the structural causes affecting corruption are:

- Structural and organizational issues such as inappropriateness of personnel and credit facilities with the goals and activities of the organization resulting from the limitation of human and financial resources or the weakness of internal control systems.

- Situational and executive problems of applicable laws and regulations.

- Inappropriateness of laws and regulations with the needs and requirements of the society, changes in the laws, and sometimes their conflict with each other, as well as the ambiguity or brief in the texts of some rules and regulations and the ability to interpret them and their various interpretations; Adherence of the instructions and regulations to the relevant provisions.

- Issues and problems related to the attraction, training and maintenance of expert and efficient people.

- Performance of previous managers and their impact on the current performance of the executive organization [10, p. 48].

The Consequences of administrative Corruption. Due to the complex nature of corruption, the scope of its work is very wide, negative and destructive. Accordingly, the most important consequences are:

➤ administrative corruption has been caused disrupting the combination of government expenditures, because corrupt politicians shift resources to sectors where their profits become profitable [11, p. 42]. In general, corruption by weakening incentives, causing social losses and undermining existing institutions, causing political losses and unjust distribution of resources, causes economic losses [5, p. 258];

➤ administrative corruption undermines the degree of legitimacy and effectiveness of governments and endangers the stability and security of societies. In addition, it undermines the values of democracy and morality, thereby blocking political and social development [12];

➤ administrative corruption increases inequalities, because resources are often allocated to sectors that have the power to repay it. In other words, people with better financial and positional abilities will attract more resources, which will lead to greater class divisions [13];

➤ administrative corruption will lead to wasting investment in human resources, diminishing moral virtues and creating negative values in the organization [5];

➤ administrative corruption prevents the growth of healthy competition and undermines efforts to reduce poverty and social exclusion [5].

Study of administrative corruption in different countries and Iran according to the report of the International Transparency Organization. The International Transparency Organization is a nonprofit organization founded in 1993 in Germany, with its center in Berlin. Each year, the organization produces and publishes a report on corruption and government in the world. In the preparation of this report, corruption is defined as «abuse of power for personal gain» and the views of the people and experts in this field are the basis for evaluation. The organization has affiliated centers in a number of countries in the world, each independently assessing the internal conditions of their countries and bringing the result to the central organization. The goal of international transparency is to fight corruption in government institutions as well as private institutions and issues such as bribery, lawbreaking, and other cases of abuse of power are monitored at various government and economic levels [14].

Accordingly, we will attention to the report of International Transparency Organization in 2015, in which countries in the world ranked 1 to 167. And the worst case of government corruption showed with zero points and the healthiest part of public sector with a score of 100. At the 2015 (*table 1*), the Danish with a score of 91 is at the top of the table and has the healthiest public sector [15]. And Somalia and North Korea have been declared the 8th most corrupt country in the world. In this assessment, corruption in the Asia-Pacific region is increasing; Saharan Africa is deteriorating and declined in the region of Europe and Central Asia [16].

Similarly, Finland with a score of 90, Sweden with a score of 89, New Zealand and Norway with a score of 87, Switzerland with a score of 86, Singapore with a score of 85, Canada with a score of 83 and Germany with a score of 81 are at the top of the table and the UK with 81 points to 10 and the United States with 76 points to 16th has been promoted. Japan also with 75 score are in 18th rank, France with 70 score in the 23rd, China with 37 score in the 83rd, Russia with 29 score in 119th place. Jordan with 53 score are in 45th, Saudi Arabia 52 score are in 48th and Kuwait with 49 score are in 55th [15]. It should be noted that in the Middle East and Islamic countries, Qatar has gained the best indicator in terms of lack of administrative and financial corruption and has gained the 71st place in the Twenty-Second World Cup. After Qatar, the United Arab Emirates has gained the 70th and is in the 23rd place [16].

Table 1

The most corrupt countries in the world in terms of the administrative system [17]

83	Colombia	37	103	Moldova	33	123	Madagascar	28	147	Democratic Republic of the Congo	22
83	Liberia	37	107	Argentina	32	123	Timor-Leste	28	147	Myanmar	22
83	Sri Lanka	37	107	Belarus	32	130	Cameroon	27	150	Burundi	21
88	Albania	36	107	Côte d'Ivoire	32	130	Iran	27	150	Cambodia	21
88	Algeria	36	107	Ecuador	32	130	Nepal	27	150	Zimbabwe	21
88	Egypt	36	107	Togo	32	130	Nicaragua	27	153	Uzbekistan	19
88	Indonesia	36	112	Honduras	31	130	Paraguay	27	154	Eritrea	18
88	Morocco	36	112	Malawi	31	130	Ukraine	27	154	Syria	18
88	Peru	36	112	Mauritania	31	136	Comoros	26	154	Turkmenistan	18
88	Suriname	36	112	Mozambique	31	136	Nigeria	26	154	Yemen	18
95	Armenia	35	112	Vietnam	31	136	Tajikistan	26	158	Haiti	17
95	Mali	35	117	Pakistan	30	139	Bangladesh	25	158	Guinea-Bissau	17
95	Mexico	35	117	Tanzania	30	139	Guinea	25	158	Venezuela	17
95	Philippines	35	119	Azerbaijan	29	139	Kenya	25	161	Iraq	16
99	Bolivia	34	119	Guyana	29	139	Laos	25	161	Libya	16
99	Djibouti	34	119	Russia	29	139	Papua New Guinea	25	163	Angola	15
99	Gabon	34	119	Sierra Leone	29	139	Uganda	25	163	South Sudan	15
99	Niger	34	123	Gambia	28	145	Central African Republic	24	165	Sudan	12
103	Dominican Republic	33	123	Guatemala	28	146	Congo Republic	23	166	Afghanistan	11
103	Ethiopia	33	123	Kazakhstan	28	147	Chad	22	167	Korea (North)	8
103	Kosovo	33	123	Kyrgyzstan	28				167	Somalia	8
			123	Lebanon	28						

In the meantime, we need to report to the International Organization for Transparency on Corruption in Iran over the years; According to the 2003 International Transparency Report, Iran ranked 78th in 133 countries, in 2004, among 147 countries ranked 87th, In 2005, among 159 countries ranked 93th, in 2006 ranked 105th, in 2007 it was 131th, in 2008 the rating was 141th, in 2009 the rating was 168th, in 2010 it was 146th, in 2011 it was 120th, in 2012, with a score of 28 was 133th, in 2013 with a 25-point rating was 144th, in 2014 with a score of 27 points rated 136th and in 2015 with a score of 27 points out of 100 points ranked 130th and with Cameroon, Nepal, Nicaragua, Paraguay and Ukraine was in a same row [15].

Pathology means identifying the causes and roots of factors that contribute to the emergence of the crisis in various phenomena, and these factors can disrupt the process of growth and efficacy of phenomena [18]. In other words, pathology, problem resolution, and scientific focal point are essential for determining the steps needed to improve the performance of the organization. Pathology requires a systematic approach to the whole process, and aims at identifying the nature and type of problem that is emerging and needs to be addressed [19, p. 97]. Similarly, organizational pathology is a group process and, in this sense, needs to have similar goals and approaches. Individuals should be actively involved in running processes. Therefore, organizational pathology requires changes and new ways of adopting. Such changes can help to improve the future performance and development of the organization [20].

Organizational Pathology usually requires the examination of two broad areas: The first domain identifies the constituent elements of the organization, which include the sectors, departments, products, and the relationships between the factors and their interactions; The second area is pathology based on organizational processes that include communication networks, group problem solving, decision-making, leadership styles and power exercises, planning and targeting methods, and conflict management and competition. It should also be noted that today's economic, social and political changes are among the factors of rapid change in organizations. Therefore, organizational pathology plays an important role in recognizing organizational issues, identifying the causes of it, choosing the right solutions, regardless of whether the process of change has been planned or emerged. In the absence of a robust pathologist process, consultants and organizational leaders are likely to identify problems or make mistakes. This affects the readiness of the organization to make changes [21].

Normally, the causes of damage from the outside into the internal system of living organisms and in the order of internal structure and through the operation of their internal processes create irregularities and disruptions. Basically, there are external causes. Organizational damage has been categorized into three levels: qualitative, critical, and hazardous:


Preliminary damage. The only major risk this kind of damage, in this regard, attracts the attention of a pathologist, which, if not properly understood and not properly addressed and repelled, becomes critical damage. Most of these damages can be recognized and prevented.

Critical Damage. Damage is caused by non-dealing with initial damage in the organization. These types of damage are evident in the «structural, behavioral, and underlying» branches, and can be expressed scientifically.

Harmful and deadly injuries. These types of injuries are the most dangerous types of organizational damage that, if not well understood, will cause the organization to be destroyed, such as the vulnerability of strategic decision makers. In this kind of damage, not only the goal of growth and development is disturbed, but the life and survival of the organization is also seriously endangered. These three types of organizational damage are

interconnected and, if not properly understood and treated properly, one becomes the other [22, p. 62–63].

Pathology is based on the understanding of the organization’s work. The intellectual frameworks that apply the organizational change factors used to evaluate the organization are called «pathological patterns». Pathological patterns play a decisive role in the organizational transformation program [18, p. 11]. By recognizing the pathological patterns, it is possible to construct and institutionalize one of them according to organizational requirements; so, by observing any problem in the organization with a systematic view, one can examine the interactions between different organizational and Understanding the roots of the problem, and with the principled and preventive action, minimizes the harmful consequences of apples. The most important organizational models are the seven-dimensional Marvin Webster model, the Harrison model, and the three-branch analysis model; in the present study, we describe the Three-Branch Analysis model (*figure*):



Three-branch analysis model

Source: adapted by the author [22, p. 66].

Based on this model, the phenomenon of organization and management can be analyzed according to three categories of behavioral, structural and environment factors. For behavioral (content) factors, all factors related to the human resources that make up the content of the organization, such as motivation and job satisfaction. Structural factors include a set of regular relationships governing the internal components of the organization that make up the body, such as organizational structure and rules and regulations.

Ultimately, the environment factors include the environment and external conditions that cause behavioral and structural factors [19, p. 100], all elements that make up the structure and content without exception to the environment. No source can be imagined without the environment [23, p. 93]. Therefore, all organizational events and phenomena can be studied, analyzed in the form of three-branch theory. The model consists of three branches of structure, context and content.

In this research, to answer these questions, is there a significant relationship between administrative corruption and factors such as economic status of employees, cultural characteristics of society, organizational characteristics, quality and quantity of laws and individual characteristics of employees? Also, is there a meaningful relationship between the application of control and monitoring methods and the reduction of corruption? What are the most effective methods of controlling? A questionnaire with 20 questions

was designed in two sections. The first part was based on the three-branch model in three parts: structure, behavior, and environment; According to the following (table 2), questions 1–6 of the questionnaire examine the relationship between organizational characteristics and corruption, Questions 7 to 10 questionnaires examine the relationship between behavioral-individual and administrative corruption issues and questions 11 to 14 of the questionnaire to examine the relationship between cultural and economic characteristics of the environment and administrative corruption. The second part of the questionnaire, which includes questions 15 through 20, examines the relationship between methods of control and corruption.

Table 2

Categorization of research questions by subject

The first part Based on a three-branch model	Branch of structure	The complexity of the rule Failure to inform people of the rules The high quality of the rules Lack of benefits for employees Lack of effective control mechanisms in the organization Lack of efficient reward system
	Branch of behavior	Lack of conscience Prefer individual interests to group interests The degree of employee riskiness Lack of familiarity with the laws and regulations
	Branch of environment	Inconsistency between the growth rate of inflation and the growth rate of staff salaries The feeling of economic inequality of government employees compared to other sectors The degree of relativity in society Unconsciousness of the society towards moral standards
Part II	Investigating the relationship between methods of control and administrative corruption	Exacerbation of penalties Establishing Independent Anti-Corruption Institutions Applying Effective Regulations Deconstruction of the administrative system Effective administrative control Efficient financial control system

Source: compiled by the author.

Using binomial test was investigated the relationship between all variables of research with the desired variable, namely administrative corruption. It should be noted that the questions for each variable were ranked from 1 to 5 based on the Likert spectrum. This scale of 5 options is divided into positive, negative, and neutral classes; therefore, the probability of responding to the relationship between the two variables in each question is either opposite or non-orientated will be 0.06. For each of the questions, the binomial test was used in the following way. The results are as follows. Here, the unpopular impact of society on ethical standards on corruption is given as an example.

- Zero assumption (H0): Unassailing the community to moral standards do not affect the administrative corruption ($H_0 = p \leq 0,6$);
- The opposite assumption (H1): Unassailing the community to moral standards do affect the administrative corruption ($H_1 = p > 0,6$).

According to the above table, the results of the relevant questions show that there is a significant relationship between the components in the structural, behavioral, and environmental branches and methods of controlling corruption with administrative corruption.

In other words, the above *table* states the relationship between the components such as the complexity of the rules, the lack of information to the people, the high quantity of the rules, the lack of additional benefits for employees, the lack of effective control mechanisms in the organization, the lack of efficient reward system, lack of conscience in work, the preference of individual interests to group interests, the degree of employee riskiness, the lack of familiarity with the laws and regulations, the lack of fit between the rate of growth of inflation and the growth rate of employee salaries, the feeling of economic inequality of government employees compared with other sectors. The degree of kinship in society, the society's unyielding moral standards, the intensification of punishment. The creation of an independent anti-corruption institutions, adoption of efficient regulation, depoliticizing the bureaucracy, administrative control effectively, efficient financial control system with administrative corruption (the dependent variable). Which has a significant level of 0,000 or less than 0,5. Therefore, the statistical test of the above components is located in the H1 region and there is a meaningful relationship between the above components with administrative corruption. Also, the H0 assumption is rejected for all components (*table 3*).

Table 3

The results of examining related questions through binomial testing

Research variables	Number of questions	Ratio observed	Test ratio	Meaningful level	Confirm or reject variable effects
Complexity of the rules group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
The lack of information to the people group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
The high quantity of the rules group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
The lack of effective control mechanisms in the organization group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable

End of the Table 3

Research variables	Number of questions	Ratio observed	Test ratio	Meaningful level	Confirm or reject variable effects
Lack of conscience in Work group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
The preference of individual interests to group interests group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
The degree of employee riskiness group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
The lack of familiarity with the laws and regulations, group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
The lack of fit between the rate of growth of inflation and the growth rate of employee salaries group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
The feeling of economic inequality of government employees compared with other sectors group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
The degree of kinship in society group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
Unassailing the community to moral standards, group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
The intensification of punishment, group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
Applying Effective Regulations group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
Depoliticizing the bureaucracy group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
Effective administrative control group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable
Efficient financial control system group1=> 0,6 0,6<group 2	0 384	,0 1,0	0,6	,000	Verify the effect of the variable

Source: compiled by the author.

Friedman Test. Friedman test was used to determine the significance and effect of the components of each structural, behavioral and environmental branch in the three-branch model on administrative corruption and the results are presented in (table 4).

Table 4

Ranking the importance of effective factors on the emergence of administrative corruption in the Three-branch model

Separation of each branch in the model	Variables	Average rating per branch	Average rating of all variables	Rank of each variables in total
The structure branch	The complexity of the rules	5,01	9,25	3
	Lack of effective control mechanisms in the organization	4,99	9,21	4
	Lack of efficient reward system	4,04	7,35	5
	Lack of benefits for employees	3,93	7,14	6
	The high quality of the rules	3,87	6,99	7
	Failure to inform the rules of the people	2,79	4,90	9
The behavior Branch	Lack of conscience	3,99	11,54	1
	Lack of familiarity with the laws and regulations	2,10	4,54	10
	Prefer individual interests to group	1,95	4,11	11
	The degree of employee riskiness	1,95	4,11	11
The environment branch	Unconsciousness of the society towards moral standards	3,28	11,54	1
	The feeling of economic inequality of government employees compared to other sectors	2,85	10,14	2
	Inconsistency between the growth rate of inflation and the growth rate of staff salaries	2,57	9,21	4
	The degree of relativity in society	1,31	5,00	8

Source: compiled by the author.

It should be noted that the significance and impact of each component of the methods of controlling corruption was also examined separately in accordance with (table 5).

As can be seen, the lack of conscientiousness, the feeling of employee economic inequality and the complexity of the laws are in the first, second and third degrees, respectively, in influencing the emergence and administrative corruption. The lack of effective control mechanisms in the organization, the lack of an efficient reward system, the lack of additional benefits for employees, the high quantity of laws, and so on, are at other levels of influence, respectively.

Table 5

Ranking of components of administrative corruption control methods

Part II	Rating variables	Average rating	Rating
Investigating the relationship between methods of control and administrative corruption	Efficient financial control system	5,14	1
	Effective administrative control	5,02	2
	Establishing Independent Anti-Corruption Institutions	2,93	3
	Exacerbation of penalties	2,74	4
	Deconstruction of the administrative system	2,61	5
	Applying effective regulations	2,56	6

Source: compiled by the author.

As you can see, effective financial control system, effective administrative control, and the creation of independent anti-corruption institutions are ranked first, second and third, respectively, as the most effective methods of controlling corruption. Also, other components include the intensification of penalties, the removal of the administrative system and the application of effective regulations in the next rank.

Conclusion. According to the findings of this research, we believe that administrative corruption affects as much as economic, social, political, judicial and cultural systems in each country; has negative effects on the legitimacy of the political system, the efficiency of the administrative system, and the country's growth and development. Corruption investigations also show that this phenomenon becomes more complex every day, and it is also indicative of the importance of adopting comprehensive solutions to combat it. It should be noted that effective treatment of corruption in each country requires the recognition of the correctness of it for the people and government officials.

Therefore, in the present study, a set of the most important causes of the emergence of administrative corruption based on the three-branch model of organizational pathology theory in the provinces of Tehran and the most effective methods for controlling corruption have been presented. Based on the results of the research, the most important causes of corruption are based on the three-branch model in the behavior and environment field and then in the structure branch:

- lack of conscientiousness and unyielding society towards ethical standards (behavior and environment branch);
- the feeling of economic inequality of government employees compared to other sectors (environmental branch);
- the complexity of the rules (structure branch);
- lack of effective control mechanisms in the organization (branch structure);
- lack of efficient reward system (branch structure).

According to *table 4*, the most effective methods of controlling corruption are as follows :efficient financial control system, effective administrative control, establishing Independent Anti-Corruption Institutions, exacerbation of penalties, dismissal of the administrative system, applying Effective Regulations.

Based on this, we will propose solutions to fight the corruption of the administrative system and reform the system:

- systematic financial systems through their upgrade;
- monitoring on the wealth of government employees and their families;
- strengthen institutions and regulatory bodies such as the inspection agency and their use of information systems;
- remove the terms of commodity multiplicity, remove rents and exclude grounds;
- public access to government information and encouragement of employees and citizens to disclose corruption and reward for revealing person;
- create grounds for public opinion, NGO and media to monitor the functioning of the administrative system;
- press freedom and immunity in the disclosure of corruption;
- reforming the methods and mechanisms of encouragement and punishment in the administrative system;
- reforming the administrative system by reforming its structure and rules and removing redundant provisions;
- modifying the rules for the recruitment and selection of employees and directors in the administrative system;
- creating and developing an e-government mechanism;
- performing cultural and educational measures in the area of denouncing administrative offenses.

REFERENCES

1. Abbas Zadegan, Seyed Mohammad Corruption. Tehran: Office of Cultural Research, 2004.
2. Qarani Mohammad et al. Analysis of Corruption Relationship with Good Governance Principles and Its Effectiveness from Organizational Culture: Proceedings of the Conference on Promoting Occupational Health, Zaman Noo Publishingю, 2010.
3. Khalfkhani Mehdi. Social Approach in Developing Corruption Measurement Indicators. Scientific-Specialized Quarterly of Assessment Knowledge National Inspection Organization. 2010. Vol. 2. Is. 3.
4. Shafizadeh Hamid. Promotion of culture and general education to Confront corruption. Scientific-Specialized Quarterly of Assessment Knowledge National Inspection Organization. 2010. Vol. 2. Is. 3.
5. Afzali Abdul Rahman. Administrative corruption and its impact on development. Journal of the Presidential International Legal Affairs Center. 2011. Vol. 24, Is. 45.
6. Official information portal. URL : www.aftabir.com.
7. Official information site. URL : www.Tahlil95.com.

8. Rabiei Ali. Long Live Corruption, Tehran: Printing and Publishing Organization of the Ministry of Culture and Islamic Guidance, 2004.
9. Rafi Pour Faramarz. Social Cancer Corruption, Tehran: Publication Co., 2009.
10. Rafi Pour Faramarz. Development and Contradiction, Tehran: Publication Co, 2000.
11. Khodadad Hosseini, Seyyed Hamid and Mohsen Farhadinejad. Corruption Investigation and its Control Method, Modares Quarterly. 2001. № 1.
12. Shokrallahi Masoud. Capacity building for the health of the administrative system, conference of the administrative and development system. Tehran: Office of Administrative Affairs and Recruitment, 1999.
13. Goldthorpe John E. Sociology of Third World Countries ; Translator: Javad Zohourian. Astan: Quds Razavi Publishing, 1991.
14. Official information portal. URL : www.entekhab.ir/fa/news/139643.
15. Official information portal. URL : www.shomanews.com/fa/news/813569/2016.
16. Official information portal. URL : www.asriran.com/fa/news/447291.
17. International Transparency International Report, 2015. URL : https://www.iaca.int/images/news/2016/Corruption_Perceptions_Index_2015_report.pdf.
18. Zakeri Pour, Gholam Reza Gholi F., Ahmad Abadi M. Pathology of fateb in order to Improving Development. Development Quarterly. 2011. № 22.
19. Tavakoli Darestani, Shaghayegh and Saeed Shahbaz Moradi. Pathology of Human Resources Management with the Purpose of Improvement and Development. Quarterly Journal of Management and Human Resources in Oil Industry. 2008. Is. 4.
20. Rahim H., Siadat A., Hoveida R., Shahin A., Nasrabad H. N., Arbabisarjou A. The Analysis of Organizational Diagnosis on Based six Box Model in University. Higher Education Studies. 2011. Vol. 1. P. 84–92.
21. McFillen J. M, Deborah A. Oneil, William K. Blazer & Glenn H. Varney. Organizational Diagnosis: An Evidence- based Approach. Journal of Change Management. 2013. Vol. 13. № 2. P. 223–246.
22. Bahramzadeh Hossein Ali, Amiri Hooshang, Ghadiri Ramin. Pathology of Manpower Management at Mazandaran University. Quarterly Journal of Educational Psychology. 2015. Is. 2. P. 59–82.
23. Abbas Zadeh Hassan. Complicating Human Resource Management, Oil Industry, Issue. 2010. Is. 12. P. 89–112.

Articles submitted to editor office of 26.03.2018.

Махтаб Дж. Стратегії моніторингу та обмеження корупції в адміністративній сфері.

Постановка проблеми. Філософія існування адміністративної системи в кожному суспільстві полягає в тому, щоб дозволити уряду виконувати свої обов'язки перед населенням в різних політичних, економічних, соціальних та інших сферах. Виконання цих обов'язків, в кінцевому рахунку, призводить до легітимності та виживання політичних систем, на додаток до забезпечення соціальної організації, порядку та стабільності. Але якщо адміністративна система стає корумпована, можна очікувати, що вона стає однією з основних вад в управлінні, що призводить до зриву відносин між владою та суспільством, катастрофічних наслідків для політичної та соціальної стабільності. Питання корупції в адміністративній сфері є головною турботою політичних та соціальних експертів у всіх країнах світу. Важливість цієї проблеми в Ірані досить значна, оскільки країна є єдиною державою, де політична система та її легітимність засновані на морально-етичних цінностях та релігійних переконаннях людей.

Аналіз останніх досліджень і публікацій. Корупцію як соціально-політичне явище вивчає велика кількість науковців у світі. Одні вважають її відхиленням державних чиновників від службових повноважень, незаконним використанням

адміністративної та державної влади для особистої вигоди. До вивчення корупції долучаються організації світового рівня, зокрема ООН та Світовий банк.

Метою дослідження є визначення причин виникнення та поширення корупції в адміністративній сфері з метою моніторингу відповідних стратегій для обмеження її масштабів.

Матеріали та методи. Для аналізу й оцінки гіпотез дослідження, крім статистичних матеріалів та електронних ресурсів, були використані результати соціального опитування персоналу 51 відділення з 96 загальних відділень в Тегеранській провінції.

Результати дослідження. Досліджений суттєвий взаємозв'язок між корупцією в адміністративних органах країни та факторами, які стосуються матеріального становища співробітників, ментальні риси суспільства, організаційні особливості його структури, якість та кількість індивідуально-психологічних ознак населення. Також розглянуто вагомі зв'язки між методами контролю та масштабами скорочення корупції. Запропоновані ефективні методи попередження корупції, серед яких: наявність ефективної системи фінансового та адміністративного контролю, створення незалежних установ для боротьби з корупцією в адміністративній системі управління країною, посилення санкцій проти корупціонерів, деполітизація системи управління.

Висновки. Корупція впливає не тільки на економічні, соціальні, політичні, судові та культурні системи кожної країни, але й має негативний вплив на легітимність політичної системи, ефективність адміністративної системи та розвиток країни. Дослідження корупції також показують, що це явище щодня стає все складнішим, і це також свідчить про важливість прийняття всебічних рішень для боротьби з нею. Її причинами стають відсутність стійкості суспільства до етичних норм, недостатність матеріального забезпечення державних службовців, недостатньо ефективні механізми контролю та заохочення.

Виходячи з цього, можна запропонувати методи боротьби з корупцією: моніторинг багатства державних службовців та їх сімей, доступ громадськості до державної інформації, заохочення працівників і громадян до розкриття корупційних схем, залучення ЗМІ для моніторингу функціонування адміністративної системи, свобода та імунітет преси у розкритті корупції, реформування механізмів заохочення та покарання в адміністративній системі, зміна правил відбору працівників для адміністративної системи, створення та розвиток системи електронного урядування, проведення культурно-освітніх заходів у сфері денонсації адміністративних правопорушень.

Ключові слова: корупція в адміністративній сфері, боротьба з корупцією, теорія патології, аналітична модель 3-х рівнів, адміністрація Тегеранської провінції.